A NOTE ON THE SMOOTHNESS OF THE MINKOWSKI FUNCTION

PRANAV HARIDAS AND JAIKRISHNAN JANARDHANAN

ABSTRACT. The Minkowski function is a crucial tool used in the study of balanced domains and, more generally, quasi-balanced domains in several complex variables. If a quasi-balanced domain is bounded and pseudoconvex then it is well-known that its Minkowski function is plurisubharmonic. In this short note, we prove that under the additional assumption of smoothness of the boundary, the Minkowski function of a quasi-balanced domain is in fact smooth away from the origin. This allows us to construct a smooth plurisubharmonic defining function for such domains. Our result is new even in the case of balanced domains.

1. Introduction

The study of holomorphic mappings between balanced and quasi-balanced domains pose an interesting challenge. As the automorphism group contains the circle, such domains possess symmetry that often confers strong rigidity on holomorphic mappings between these domains. Indeed, a classical result of Cartan exploits the circle action to show that any automorphism of a bounded balanced domain fixing the origin must be linear. One of the key tools that facilitate the study of balanced and quasi-balanced domains is the Minkowski function. Several generalizations of Cartan's theorem are now known ([Bel82, BP00, Kos14, YZ17]), and many of them use the Minkowski function as a central tool in the proofs. The demand of the presence of a circle action is also not too severe and there are several interesting classes of domains that are quasi-balanced. For instance, the symmetrized polydisk and related domains are quasi-balanced domains that have been extensively studied using the Minkowski function (see [Nik06, Kos11]).

Let p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n be relatively prime positive integers. We say that a domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) -balanced (quasi-balanced) if

$$\lambda \bullet z \in D \ \forall \lambda \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \ \forall z \in D.$$

where $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is the closed unit disk in \mathbb{C} and for $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n) \in D$, we define $\lambda \bullet z := (\lambda^{p_1} z_1, \lambda^{p_2} z_2, \dots, \lambda^{p_n} z_n)$. If $p_1 = p_2 = \dots = p_n = 1$ above, then we say D is a balanced domain (also known as a complete circular domain in the literature).

²⁰¹⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 32A07.

Key words and phrases. Minkowski function, balanced domains, quasi-balanced domains, plurisubharmonic defining function.

Jaikrishnan Janardhanan is supported by a DST-INSPIRE fellowship from the Department of Science and Technology, India.

Given a (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n) -balanced domain $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$, we define the Minkowski function $\mathfrak{h}_D : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{C}$

$$\mathfrak{h}_D(z) := \inf\{t > 0 : \frac{1}{t} \bullet z \in D\}.$$

Clearly $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n : \mathfrak{h}_D(z) < 1\}$ and $\mathfrak{h}_D(\lambda \bullet z) = |\lambda|\mathfrak{h}_D(z)$. It also turns out that \mathfrak{h}_D is plurisubharmonic if D is additionally psuedoconvex. This fact has been a crucial ingredient in several results on balanced domains; see [Ham00, JP13], for instance.

One natural question that seems to be unanswered (to the best of the authors' knowledge) in the literature is the following:

Is the Minkowski function of a smoothly bounded psuedoconvex quasi-balanced domain a smooth function near the boundary?

In fact, we found a remark in [GK03, p. 190], with a reference to Hamada's paper [Ham00], stating that the answer to the above question is no if the domain has only a \mathcal{C}^1 -boundary. That the Minkowski function of a balanced and bounded pseudoconvex domain with \mathcal{C}^1 -smooth plurisubharmonic defining function is \mathcal{C}^1 -smooth on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ has already been established in [Ham00, Proposition 1]. Using the recent work [NZZ17], we are able to prove smoothness of the Minkowski function on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ for any smoothly bounded quasi-balanced domains. The main result of this paper is the following

Theorem 1. Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a smoothly bounded quasi-balanced pseudoconvex domain. Then the Minkowski function \mathfrak{h}_D is \mathcal{C}^{∞} -smooth on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Furthermore, the function $r(z) := \mathfrak{h}_D(z) - 1$ is a plurisubharmonic defining function for D.

Remark 2. By a smoothly bounded domain, we shall mean a bounded domain whose boundary is C^{∞} -smooth.

Remark 3. The analogue of the above result for convex domains is well-known. The reader is referred to [KP99, Section 6.3] for details

2. Supporting results

Before we give the proof of Theorem 1, we first give a brief overview of the necessary tools.

We shall now consider the setting in [NZZ17, p. 518, p. 523]. Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a smoothly bounded domain and let $G \subset \operatorname{Aut}(D) \cap \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\overline{D})$ be a compact Lie subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(D)$ in the compact open topology. Consider a continuous representation $\rho: G \to GL(\mathbb{C}^n)$ of G and the set

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)^G := \{ f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n) : f \circ \rho(g) = f \text{ for all } g \in G \}$$

called the set of G-invariant entire functions.

A domain D is said to be G-invariant if $\rho(g) \cdot D = D$ for all $g \in G$. We will say that G acts transversely on D if for each $z_0 \in \partial D$ the image of the tangent map $d\Psi_{z_0}: T_eG \to T_{z_0}\partial D$ associated to the map $\Psi_{z_0}: G \to \partial D$ given by $g \mapsto g(z_0)$, is not contained in $T_{z_0}^{\mathbb{C}}\partial D$, the complex tangent space to ∂D at z_0 . We have the following

Result 4 (Theorem 2.7 in [NZZ17]). Let G be a compact Lie group, which acts linearly on \mathbb{C}^n with $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)^G = \mathbb{C}$. If D is a G-invariant smoothly bounded pseudoconvex domain in \mathbb{C}^n that contains the origin, then G acts transversely on D.

Consider the representation of the compact lie group \mathbb{S}^1 given by

$$\rho(\lambda)(z) = \lambda \bullet z \text{ where } \lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1.$$

Proposition 5. Under the above action, $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\mathbb{S}^1} = \mathbb{C}$.

Proof. Consider $f \in \mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)$ such that $f(\lambda \bullet z) = f(z)$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{S}^1$ and for all $z \in D$. Fix $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and define a function $g_z : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ given by $g_z(\lambda) = f(\lambda \bullet z)$. Then g is a holomorphic function that is constant on \mathbb{S}^1 and hence $g_z \equiv g(0)$. Since our choice of z was arbitrary, we have f(z) = f(0). The constant functions clearly belong to $\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\mathbb{S}^1}$.

That D is \mathbb{S}^1 -invariant is a direct consequence of the fact that D is (p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) -balanced. Thus in our case, we can conclude the following

Corollary 6. Under the hypotheses on D as in Theorem 1, for each $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n) \in \partial D$, the vector

$$(ip_1\xi_1,\ldots,ip_n\xi_n) \not\in T_{\xi}^{\mathbb{C}}\partial D.$$

Proof. With $\Psi_{\xi}: \mathbb{S}^1 \to \partial D$ given by $\Psi_{\xi}(\lambda) = \lambda \bullet \xi$, the evaluation of the derivative map $d\Psi_{\xi}(1) = (ip_1\xi_1, \dots, ip_n\xi_n) \in T_{\xi}\partial D$. By Result 4, $d\Psi_{\xi}(1) \notin T_{\xi}^{\mathbb{C}}\partial D$ as otherwise $d\Psi_{\xi}(T_e\mathbb{S}^1) \subset T_{\xi}^{\mathbb{C}}\partial D$.

We will use the following version of Hopf's lemma in the proof of Theorem 1.

Lemma 7 (Lemma 3, p. 177, [KG89]). Let $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a smoothly bounded domain and let r be a negative plurisubharmonic function defined on D. Then there exists a constant c > 0 such that $|r(z)| > c \cdot dist(z, \partial D)$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Let ψ be a defining function for D. Consider the map $g \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\})$ given by

$$g(z,t) := \psi\left(\frac{1}{t} \bullet z\right)$$

Observe that $g(z, \mathfrak{h}_D(z)) = 0$. Let us fix a point $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$. We shall show that $\frac{\partial g}{\partial t}|_{(z_0,\mathfrak{h}_D(z_0))} \neq 0$.

Let us denote the coordinates of z_0 by (z_1, \ldots, z_n) . Then the point $\xi = (\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_n)$ defined to be $\frac{1}{\mathfrak{h}_D(z_0)} \bullet z_0$ belongs to ∂D . A direct calculation gives us that

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t}|_{(z_0,\mathfrak{h}_D(z_0))} = \frac{-1}{\mathfrak{h}_D(z_0)} \left(\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial z_n} \right) |_{\xi} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} p_1 \xi_1 \\ \vdots \\ p_n \xi_n \end{pmatrix}$$

If $\frac{\partial g}{\partial t}|_{(z_0,\mathfrak{h}_D(z_0))} = 0$, then $(p_1\xi_1,\ldots,p_n\xi_n) \in T_{\xi}\partial D$. Consider the curve $\gamma(\theta) = e^{i\theta} \bullet \xi$

in ∂D . Then the corresponding tangent vector $(ip_1\xi_1,\ldots,ip_n\xi_n) \in T_{\xi}\partial D$ and hence is in the complex tangent space $T_{\xi}^{\mathbb{C}}\partial D$ which is a contradiction to Corollary 6. Now by the implicit function theorem, \mathfrak{h}_D is \mathcal{C}^{∞} -smooth on $\mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$.

We shall now prove that r is a defining function. We are left with observing that $dr \neq 0$ on ∂D . It is easy to see that the normal derivative at every point on the boundary ∂D is bounded below by the constant c by an application of Hopf's lemma (Lemma 7). Hence $dr \neq 0$ on ∂D .

Our result implies that the main results in [Ham00, HK01] on balanced domains with \mathcal{C}^1 -smooth plurisubharmonic defining function also hold for smoothly bounded balanced pseudoconvex domains.

References

- [Bel82] Steven R. Bell, Proper holomorphic mappings between circular domains, Comment. Math. Helv. 57 (1982), no. 4, 532–538.
- [BP00] François Berteloot and Giorgio Patrizio, A Cartan theorem for proper holomorphic mappings of complete circular domains, Adv. Math. 153 (2000), no. 2, 342–352.
- [GK03] Ian Graham and Gabriela Kohr, Geometric function theory in one and higher dimensions., New York, NY: Marcel Dekker, 2003.
- [Ham00] Hidetaka Hamada, Starlike mappings on bounded balanced domains with C¹-plurisubharmonic defining functions, Pacific J. Math. 194 (2000), no. 2, 359–371.
- [HK01] Hidetaka Hamada and Gabriela Kohr, Some necessary and sufficient conditions for convexity on bounded balanced pseudoconvex domains in \mathbb{C}^n ., Complex Variables, Theory Appl. **45** (2001), no. 2, 101–115.
- [JP13] Marek Jarnicki and Peter Pflug, Invariant distances and metrics in complex analysis. 2nd extended ed., 2nd extended ed., Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 2013.
- [KG89] G.M. Khenkin and R.V. Gamkrelidze (eds.), Several complex variables III. Geometric function theory., Berlin etc.: Springer Verlag, 1989.
- [Kos11] Lukasz Kosiński, Geometry of quasi-circular domains and applications to tetrablock, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society 139 (2011), no. 2, 559– 569.
- [Kos14] Łukasz Kosiński, Holomorphic mappings preserving Minkowski functionals., J. Math. Anal. Appl. 409 (2014), no. 2, 643–648.
- [KP99] Steven G. Krantz and Harold R. Parks, The geometry of domains in space, Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher., Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1999.
- [Nik06] Nikolai Nikolov, The symmetrized polydisc cannot be exhausted by domains biholomorphic to convex domains., Ann. Pol. Math. 88 (2006), no. 3, 279–283.
- [NZZ17] Jiafu Ning, Huiping Zhang, and Xiangyu Zhou, Proper holomorphic mappings between invariant domains in \mathbb{C}^n , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **369** (2017), no. 1, 517–536.
- [YZ17] Atsushi Yamamori and Liyou Zhang, On origin-preserving automorphisms of quasi-circular domains, The Journal of Geometric Analysis (2017), 1–13.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY MADRAS, CHENNAI 600036, INDIA

E-mail address: pranav.haridas@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036, India

E-mail address: jaikrishnan@iitm.ac.in