Symmetries in the wheeled inverted pendulum mechanism

Sneha Gajbhiye, Ravi N. Banavar, Sergio Delgado

Abstract The purpose of this article is to illustrate the role of connections and symmetries in the Wheeled Inverted Pendulum (WIP) mechanism - an underactuated system with rolling constraints - popularized commercially as the Segway, and thereby arrive at a set of simpler dynamical equations that could serve as the starting point for more complex feedback control designs. The first part of the article views the nonholonomic constraints enforced by the rolling assumption as defining an Ehresmann connection on a fiber bundle. The resulting equations are the reduced Euler Lagrange equations, which are identical to the Lagrange d'Alembert equations of motion. In the second part we explore conserved quantities, in particular, nonholonomic momenta. To do so, we first introduce the notion of a symmetry group, whose action leaves both the Lagrangian and distribution invariant. We examine two symmetry groups - SE(2) and $SE(2) \times S^1$. The first group leads to the purely kinematic case while the second gives rise to nonholonomic momentum equations.

Keywords Lie group symmetry · Robotics · Nonholonomic systems

1 Introduction

The class of nonholonomic systems forms a large and interesting subset of mechanical control systems. Applications include robotics, rolling and locomotive mechanisms. A better understanding of the system's intrinsic structure and properties, at times, simplifies control synthesis. Though the classical approaches, like Lagrange-d'Alembert's principle, yield the equations of motion,

S. Delgado

S. Gajbhiye and R. N. Banavar

Systems and Control Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Bombay E-mail: sneha@sc.iitb.ac.in; banavar@iitb.ac.in

Technical University of Munich, Garching, Germany E-mail: s.delgado@tum.de

geometric approaches exploit underlying properties like symmetry and help understand the structure and intrinsic properties of nonholonomic mechanical systems. [1] is a comprehensive introduction to these notions. In this article we study the geometric features of one such system, the *Wheeled Inverted Pendulum*, using tools of geometric mechanics. A miniaturized and compact version of the WIP (see Figure (1)) has been designed and developed in the Institute of Automatic Control, TUM. This prototype is currently being used as an experimental test bed for candidate control algorithms.

The Wheeled Inverted Pendulum (WIP) consists of a vertical body with two coaxial driven wheels. Typical applications of the WIP include baggage transportation, commuting and navigation [2]. The WIP has gained interest in the past several years due to its maneuverability and simple construction (see e.g. [3], [4]). Other robotic systems based on the WIP are becoming popular as well in the robotic community for human assistance or transportation as can be seen in the works of [5], [6], [7], [8], and a commercially available model Segway for human transportation [2]. The stabilization and tracking control for the WIP is challenging since the system belongs to a class of underactuated mechanical systems (the control inputs are less than the number of configuration variables) and has nonholonomic constraints as well, that arise due to rolling without slipping assumptions on the wheels. Several control laws have been applied to the WIP, mostly using linearized models as can be seen in [9], [10], [11], [5]. In [12], controllability of the dynamics involving the rotation of the wheels and the pitch of the vertical body (pendulum) were presented and in [10] a linear controller was designed for stabilization. In [11], the authors presented the exact dynamics of WIP and derived the linear controller. In [13] and [14], the authors propose a the controller based on partial feedback linearization. [7] develops a model based on the Euler-Rodrigues parameters and analyzes the controllability of the WIP moving on an inclined plane. However, the geometric structure of the WIP and the consequent aid to feedback design is yet to be completely exploited. In [15], the authors adopt a geometric approach to derive the dynamic model. The aim of this article is to present geometric facets, various group symmetries of the dynamics of this system, in particular the nonholonomic momentum, and finally arrive at a model which would considerably aid in control design.

In mechanical systems with nonholonomic constraints, the configuration space Q is a finite dimensional smooth manifold, the tangent bundle TQ is the velocity phase space, the Lagrangian is a map $L: TQ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a smooth distribution $\mathcal{D} \subset TQ$ determines the nonholonomic constraints. Typically, Lis the kinetic energy minus the potential energy. So, at a given point of the configuration space, the distribution \mathcal{D} characterizes the allowable velocity directions of the system. The Lagrange-d'Alembert principle, then, yields the equations of motion of the system. The constraints form the horizontal space of the tangent space in a direct sum of two subspaces - termed horizontal and vertical, and this horizontal space is realized through an Ehresmann connection. The dynamics then appear in the reduced Euler-Lagrange form with the constraint forcing terms dependant on the curvature of the connection.



Fig. 1 KRT32- the wheeled inverted pendulum developed at TUM

More scholarly exposition on this is found in [16], [1], [17]. Often, nonholonomic systems admit a symmetry group, and the action of this group usually makes analysis simpler. The configuration space is then identified "locally" as the product space of a group and a shape space, with the group being the symmetry group. This modifies the Ehresmann connection to a new connection which is associated with this symmetry. This new connection, which is a principal connection, is termed as a nonholonomic connection and the dynamics is studied on a reduced space or shape space. Hence, using group symmetry one performs Lagrangian reduction and obtains reduced dynamics with a reconstruction equation combined with constraints. The nonholonomic connection, in turn, is realized through two types of connections, one due to the constraints - the *kinematic connection* - and the second, arising due to the kinetic energy metric, termed as the *mechanical connection*. So, the nonholonomic connection holds information about both the constraints and the dynamics. The general references on reduction theory with constraints are [18], [19], [20], [1], [16], [21], [22], [23]. There are three cases to be noticed while computing this principal connection. If the distribution forms the horizontal space, then the principal connection is realized as a kinematic connection and hence we have fiber (vertical) symmetry which yields the standard momentum conservation in both spatial and body frames [24]. If the distribution forms the horizontal symmetry, that is, the distribution lies in the fiber space, then the equation of motion is in the Euler-Poincaré form and the momentum is conserved in the spatial frame, for example in the vertical coin [1]. And the third one is a general case where the distribution partially lies both in the horizontal and the vertical spaces. This general case gives rise to a generalized momentum equation wherein the momentum is not necessarily conserved. In [16], [25] authors illustrates the Snakeboard example where nonconservation of momentum plays an important role in locomotion. The WIP falls under the

category of the general case where the forward motion of the wheels and yaw motion are given by the generalized momentum.

The objective of this paper is to illustrate the symmetries and conserved quantities inherent in the dynamics of the Wheeled Inverted Pendulum (WIP). The first part of the article uses the Ehresmann connection and formulates the dynamics in the form of the Lagrange-d'Alembert equation with the Euler-Lagrange equation in the base variables with curvature form. The nonholonomic constraint distribution forms the horizontal subspace for the Ehresmann connection. In the literature this is called as the kinematic connection. The second formulation uses the notion of a nonholonomic system with symmetry. Here, a symmetry group acts on the WIP configuration space and renders the Lagrangian and distribution invariant. Two types of Lie group symmetries are considered. A connection termed as nonholonomic connection is introduced, which synthesizes the mechanical connection and kinematic connection. This analysis gives rise to momentum equation for group variables and reduced Euler-Lagrange equation for shape variables.

2 System description

The WIP consists of a body of mass m_b (center of mass at a distance b from the wheels rotation axes) mounted on two wheels of radius r. Let m_W be the mass of the wheels and d be the distance between the wheels. The wheels are directly mounted on the body and are able to rotate independently. Since the wheels are actuated by motors sitting on the body, a tilting motion automatically rotates the wheels through the tilting angle. The body needs to be stabilized in the upper position through a back and forth motion of the system similar to the inverted pendulum on a cart. The set of generalized coordinates describing the WIP are:

- 1. Coordinates of the origin of the body-fixed coordinate system in the horizontal plane $(x, y \in \mathbb{R}^2)$
- 2. Heading angle around the $_{I}z$ -axis ($\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{1}$)
- 3. Tilting angle around the _sy-axis ($\alpha \in \mathbb{S}^1$)
- 4. Relative rotation angle of each of the wheels with respect to the body around the $_{W_j}y$ -axis, which coincides with the $_By$ -axis ($\phi_1 \in \mathbb{S}^1$ and $\phi_2 \in \mathbb{S}^1$)

The configuration space Q of the system is thus $(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{S}^1) \times (\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1) = G \times S$ with $q = (x, y, \theta, \alpha, \phi_1, \phi_2)$.

Assuming the wheels roll without slipping, the system has nonholonomic constraints given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_L \cos \theta + \dot{y}_L \sin \theta &= r \dot{\phi}_1; \\ \dot{x}_R \cos \theta + \dot{y}_R \sin \theta &= r \dot{\phi}_2; \\ - \dot{x}_{L/R} \sin \theta + \dot{y}_{L/R} \cos \theta &= 0, \end{aligned}$$
(1)



Fig. 2 The Wheeled Inverted Pendulum

With $x_L = x - (d/2)\sin\theta$, $y_L = y + (d/2)\cos\theta$, $x_R = x + (d/2)\sin\theta$ and $y_R = y - (d/2)\cos\theta$ these are equivalent to

$$\dot{x} - r\cos\theta(\dot{\phi}_1 + \dot{\phi}_2) = 0, \tag{2}$$

$$\dot{y} - r\sin\theta(\dot{\phi}_1 + \dot{\phi}_2) = 0, \tag{3}$$

$$\dot{\theta} - \frac{\prime}{d}(\dot{\phi}_2 - \dot{\phi}_1) = 0.$$
 (4)

Equations (2) and (3) are treated as nonintegrable constraints, that is, the translational velocity of the body (chassis) in both x and y directions are completely determined by the angular velocities of the wheels and the body yaw angle. Equation (4) is, in fact, a holonomic constraint, which relates the yaw angle with the roll angle of the wheels. Consider coordinates q^i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) for Q, and a set of velocities \dot{q} at point q, that defines the tangent space $T_q Q$. Let \mathcal{D} be a distribution that describes the kinematic constraints as above. So, at a given point q, the distribution \mathcal{D} characterizes the allowable velocity direction of the system, i.e, \mathcal{D} is a collection of linear subspaces $D_q \subset T_q Q, \forall q \in Q$. The nonholonomic constraint can be expressed as $\dot{s}^a - A^a_\beta \dot{r}^\beta = 0$, where $s = (x, y, \theta), r = (\alpha, \phi_1, \phi_2)$ and

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 - r\cos\theta - r\cos\theta\\ 0 - r\sin\theta - r\sin\theta\\ 0 & \frac{r}{d} & -\frac{r}{d} \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (5)

The above constraints have a geometric interpretation. Consider a bundle map $\pi_{Q,S}: Q \longrightarrow S$ which is a submersion and S is termed the *base space*, then $T_q \pi_{Q,S}$ is a derivative map (onto) at each $q \in Q$ and kernel of $T_q \pi_{Q,S}$ at any point forms a vertical space V_q . This vertical subbundle (of TQ) is also referred as the fiber distribution, and is defined as

$$VQ = \bigcup_{q \in Q} V_q Q \quad V_q Q = \{ v_q \in T_q Q | v_q \in ker T_q \pi \}.$$
(6)

Definition 1 An Ehresmann connection A is defined as a vector-valued one form which splits the tangent space T_qQ at every point $q \in Q$ into a vertical and a horizontal space, satisfying: 1) $A_q : T_qQ \longrightarrow V_q$ and, 2) A is a projection, $A(v_q) = v_q$ for all $v_q \in V_q$. For $T_qQ = H_q \oplus V_q$, implying $H_q = kerA_q$.

We choose the Ehresmann connection such that the constraint distribution forms the horizontal space. For $X_q \in TQ$, we then have the horizontal part of the vector field as $H(X_q) = X_q - A(q)X_q$. In bundle coordinates, q = (s, r), the connection which is a vector-valued one form can be expressed in local coordinates as $A = (ds^a + A^a_\beta(s, r)dr^\beta)\frac{\partial}{\partial s^a}$. Therefore, the vertical component is $(\dot{r}, \dot{s}) \mapsto (0, \dot{s} + A(s, r)\dot{r}^\beta)$ and the horizontal component $(\dot{r}, \dot{s}) \mapsto (\dot{r}, -A(s, r)\dot{r})$.

The Lagrangian for the WIP is taken to be the total kinetic energy minus the potential energy and is given by

$$L(q, \dot{q}) = \frac{1}{2}(m_b + 2m_W)\dot{x}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(m_b + 2m_W)\dot{y}^2 + \frac{1}{2}I_\theta(\alpha)\dot{\theta}^2 + \frac{1}{2}(m_bb^2 + I_{Byy})\dot{\alpha}^2 + \frac{1}{2}I_{Wyy}(\dot{\phi}_1^2 + \dot{\phi}_2^2) - m_bb\sin\alpha\sin\theta\dot{x}\dot{\theta} + m_bb\cos\alpha\cos\theta\dot{\alpha}\dot{x} + m_bb\sin\alpha\cos\theta\dot{\theta}\dot{y} + m_bb\cos\alpha\sin\theta\dot{\alpha}\dot{y} - m_bbg\cos\alpha$$
(7)

where,

$$I_{\theta}(\alpha) = 2I_{Wzz} + I_{Bz}\cos^2 \alpha + 2m_W d^2 + (I_{Bxx} + m_B b^2)\sin^2 \alpha.$$

Given $L: TQ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a smooth distribution \mathcal{D} that represents the constraints, the Lagrange-d'Alembert principle that yields the equations of motion states that the motion of the system occurs along trajectories that satisfy Hamilton's variational principle where the variations of L are taken along curves which satisfy \mathcal{D} , and are assumed to vanish at the endpoints. We now define the constrained Lagrangian by substituting the constraints (2)-(4) into the Lagrangian as

$$L_c(\alpha, \dot{\alpha}, \dot{\phi}_1, \dot{\phi}_2) = L(\theta, \alpha, r\cos\theta(\dot{\phi}_1 + \dot{\phi}_2), r\sin\theta(\dot{\phi}_1 + \dot{\phi}_2), \frac{r}{d}(\dot{\phi}_2 - \dot{\phi}_2))$$

yielding L_c as

$$L_c = \frac{1}{2}a_1\dot{\phi}_1^2 + \frac{1}{2}a_1\dot{\phi}_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}c\ \dot{\alpha}^2 + \frac{1}{2}a_2\dot{\alpha}(\dot{\phi}_1 + \dot{\phi}_2) + a_3\dot{\phi}_1\dot{\phi}_2 - m_bbg\cos\alpha$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \left(\frac{1}{4}(m_b + 2m_W)r^2 + \frac{r^2}{4d^2}I_\theta(\alpha) + I_{Wyy}\right);\\ a_2 &= \left((m_b + 2m_W)r^2 + m_bb\cos\alpha\right);\\ a_3 &= \left(\frac{1}{4}(m_b + 2m_W)r^2 - \frac{r^2}{4d^2}I_\theta(\alpha)\right);\\ c &= (m_bb^2 + I_{Byy}). \end{aligned}$$

Following [16], the equations of motion in terms of the constrained Lagrangian L_c , termed as reduced Euler-Lagrange equations, are given by

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L_c}{\partial \dot{\alpha}}\right) - \frac{\partial L_c}{\partial \alpha} + A^a_\alpha \frac{\partial L_c}{\partial s^a} = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{s}^b} \left(B^b_{\alpha\alpha}\dot{\alpha} + B^b_{\alpha\phi_1}\dot{\phi}_1 + B^b_{\alpha\phi_2}\dot{\phi}_2\right), \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{\partial L_c}{\partial \dot{\phi_1}}\right) + A^a_{\phi_1}\frac{\partial L_c}{\partial s^a} = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{s}^b}\left(B^b_{\phi_1\alpha}\dot{\alpha} + B^b_{\phi_1\phi_1}\dot{\phi_1} + B^b_{\phi_1\phi_2}\dot{\phi_2}\right),\qquad(9)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L_c}{\partial \dot{\phi}_2} \right) + A^a_{\phi_2} \frac{\partial L_c}{\partial s^a} = -\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{s}^b} \left(B^b_{\phi_2 \alpha} \dot{\alpha} + B^b_{\phi_2 \phi_1} \dot{\phi}_1 + B^b_{\phi_2 \phi_2} \dot{\phi}_2 \right) \quad (10)$$

where the curvature $B^b_{\beta\gamma}$ is

$$B^{b}_{\beta\gamma} = \left(\frac{\partial A^{b}_{\beta}}{\partial r^{\gamma}} - \frac{\partial A^{b}_{\gamma}}{\partial r^{\beta}} + A^{a}_{\beta}\frac{\partial A^{b}_{\gamma}}{\partial s^{a}} - A^{a}_{\gamma}\frac{\partial A^{b}_{\beta}}{\partial s^{a}}\right)$$
(11)

where A^a_{α} are the coordinate expression of the Ehresmann connection on the tangent bundle defined by the constraints. The Ehresmann connection in coordinates is

$$A^x_{\alpha} = 0, \ A^x_{\phi_1} = -r\cos\theta, \ A^x_{\phi_2} = -r\cos\theta, A^y_{\alpha} = 0, \ A^y_{\phi_1} = -r\sin\theta, \ A^y_{\phi_2} = -r\sin\theta, A^\theta_{\alpha} = 0, \qquad A^\theta_{\phi_1} = \frac{r}{d}, \qquad A^\theta_{\phi_2} = -\frac{r}{d}.$$
(12)

and the coefficients $B^b_{\beta\gamma}$ are given by

$$\begin{split} B^b_{\alpha\alpha} &= B^b_{\alpha\phi_1} = B^b_{\alpha\phi_2} = B^b_{\phi_1\alpha} = B^b_{\phi_2\alpha} = B^b_{\phi_1\phi_1} = B^b_{\phi_2\phi_2} = 0, \\ B^b_{\phi_1\phi_2} &= A^\theta_{\phi_1} \frac{\partial A^b_{\phi_2}}{\partial \theta} - A^\theta_{\phi_2} \frac{\partial A^b_{\phi_1}}{\partial \theta}, \quad B^b_{\phi_2\phi_1} = A^\theta_{\phi_2} \frac{\partial A^b_{\phi_1}}{\partial \theta} - A^\theta_{\phi_1} \frac{\partial A^b_{\phi_2}}{\partial \theta}. \end{split}$$

The equations of motion, calculated from (8)-(10) are

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L_c}{\partial \dot{\alpha}} \right) - \frac{\partial L_c}{\partial \alpha} = 0,$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L_c}{\partial \dot{\phi}_1} \right) = -2m_b b \frac{r^2}{d} \sin \alpha \left(\frac{r}{d} (\dot{\phi}_2 - \dot{\phi}_1) \right) \dot{\phi}_2 + \tau_1 \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\partial L_c}{\partial \dot{\phi}_2} \right) = 2m_b b \frac{r^2}{d} \sin \alpha \left(\frac{r}{d} (\dot{\phi}_2 - \dot{\phi}_1) \right) \dot{\phi}_1 + \tau_2$$

where τ_1 and τ_2 are the respective torques on the two wheels. These equations of motion are identical to the one given in [15]. In section 3, we show that using $\mathbb{SE}(2)$ symmetry yields a principal kinematic case and, the principal connection in such a case is equivalent to the Ehresmann connection defined above. For a completeness of exposition, the preliminary notions of a nonholonomic system with symmetry as developed in [1], [16] are presented in the Appendix. We now illustrate these tools on the WIP system.

3 Symmetries in the WIP mechanism

We make two choices for the group action:

- $-G_1 = \mathbb{SE}(2)$ (the position on the plane and the yaw angle) and
- $-~G_2=\mathbb{SE}(2)\times\mathbb{S}^1$ (the position on the plane and the yaw angle, and the roll of the wheels) .

In the first case we illustrate that the principal connection is equivalent to the Ehersmann connection and the equations of motion are given by the reduced Euler-Lagrange equations. In the second case we first identify the configuration variable as $q = (x, y, \theta, \alpha, \phi = \phi_1 + \phi_2)$ and then choose the group action of $\mathbb{SE}(2) \times \mathbb{S}^1$ on this Q. This choice of Q comes from the fact that instead of the absolute wheel angles ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 we take the difference $\alpha = \phi_1 - \phi_2$ which represent the yaw angle, and the sum $\phi = \left(\frac{\phi_1 + \phi_2}{2}\right)$ which permits us to calculate the forward distance traversed as $r\phi$. This modified choice also comes from the ultimate control synthesis objective where one wants to control the forward and yaw velocity of the WIP. In this case we illustrate the nonholonomic momentum and derive the reduced nonholonomic Lagrange-d'Alembert equations.

Case I: Consider the Lie group $G_1 = \mathbb{SE}(2)$ and the symmetry in $s = (x, y, \theta)$ variables of the system. The action by the group element $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{\theta})$ is given by

$$(x, y, \theta, \alpha, \phi_1, \phi_2) \longmapsto (x \cos \bar{\theta} - y \sin \bar{\theta} + \bar{x}, x \sin \bar{\theta} + y \cos \bar{\theta} + \bar{y}, \theta + \bar{\theta}, \alpha, \phi_1, \phi_2)$$

The tangent space to the SE(2) group orbit is given by

$$T_q \operatorname{Orb}(q) = \operatorname{span}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\right\}$$
 (14)

The Lagrangian (7) and constraints (1) are invariant under the action of G_1 . The vector fields X_1, X_2, X_3 that are the local generators for the constrained distribution \mathcal{D} and are given by

$$X_{1} = \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{1}} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{2}},$$

$$X_{2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha},$$

$$X_{3} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{d}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{1}} - \frac{d}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi_{2}}$$

therefore,

$$\mathcal{D}_q = \operatorname{span}\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}.$$
(15)

The intersection of the tangent space to the orbit with the constrained distribution $S_q = \mathcal{D}_q \cap T_q \operatorname{Orb}(q) = \{0\}$ and the components of curvature are independent of x and y. This is the *principal kinematic case*, in which there is a principal connection whose horizontal space is spanned by the distribution \mathcal{D} . The projection on the vertical space defines the Ehresmann connection and since the distribution is invariant under the group action, the principal connection related to the Ehresmann connection as $A = \mathcal{A}_Q$, given in (12). The system is reduced from TQ to $TQ/G_1 = \mathfrak{se}(2) \times T\mathbb{S}^1 \times T\mathbb{S}^1 \times T\mathbb{S}^1$ by the group action. Substituting the constraint $\dot{s} = -A(s, r)\dot{r}$ from (2)-(4), the reduced equations of motion are obtained on $\mathcal{D}/G_1 = T\mathbb{S}^1 \times T\mathbb{S}^1 \times T\mathbb{S}^1$. The reduced constrained Lagrangian is

$$l_c(\alpha, \dot{\alpha}, \dot{\phi}_1, \dot{\phi}_2) = l(r\cos\theta(\dot{\phi}_1 + \dot{\phi}_2), r\sin\theta(\dot{\phi}_1 + \dot{\phi}_2), \frac{r}{d}(\dot{\phi}_2 - \dot{\phi}_2))$$

There is no momentum equation and correspondingly no body velocity. The equation of motion is the reduced Euler-Lagrange equation given in (13) with the reconstruction equation being $\dot{s} = -A(s,r)\dot{r}$.

Case II: Consider the group action $G_2 = \mathbb{SE}(2) \times \mathbb{S}^1$ on $Q = \mathbb{SE}(2) \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$. The group here denotes the (x, y) position, heading angle θ and ϕ sum of wheel angles. Let $\dot{\phi} = \left(\frac{\dot{\phi}_1 + \dot{\phi}_2}{2}\right)$ be sum of wheel velocity results in the forward velocity $r\dot{\phi}$ of the cart. With this let the configuration space is now identify as $Q = \mathbb{SE}(2) \times \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^1$ with configuration variables as $q = (x, y, \theta, \alpha, \phi)$. Then the left action of G_2 on Q is given as

$$\Phi: (x, y, \theta, \alpha, \phi) \longmapsto (x \cos \bar{\theta} - y \sin \bar{\theta} + \bar{x}, x \sin \bar{\theta} + y \cos \bar{\theta} + \bar{y}, \theta + \bar{\theta}, \alpha, \phi + \bar{\phi})$$

where $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{\theta}) \in \mathbb{SE}(2)$ and $\bar{\phi} \in \mathbb{S}^1$. The left action of G on the tangent-lifted coordinates of the manifold Q is

$$T\Phi_{(\bar{x},\bar{y},\bar{\theta},\bar{\phi})} : (x,y,\theta,\alpha,\phi,\dot{x},\dot{y},\dot{\theta},\dot{\alpha},\dot{\phi}) \longrightarrow$$

$$(x\cos\bar{\theta}-y\sin\bar{\theta}+\bar{x},x\sin\bar{\theta}+y\cos\bar{\theta}+\bar{y},\theta+\bar{\theta},\alpha,$$

$$\phi+\bar{\phi},\dot{x}\cos\bar{\theta}-\dot{y}\sin\bar{\theta},\dot{x}\sin\bar{\theta}+\dot{y}\cos\theta,\dot{\theta},\dot{\alpha},\dot{\phi})$$

The Lagrangian L of the system is,

$$L(q, \dot{q}) = \frac{1}{2} (m_b + 2m_W) (\dot{x}^2 + \dot{y}^2) + \frac{1}{2} \left(I_\theta(\alpha) + \frac{d^2}{2r^2} I_{Wyy} \right) \dot{\theta}^2 + \frac{1}{2} (m_b b^2 + I_{Byy}) \dot{\alpha}^2 + \frac{1}{2} I_{Wyy} 2 \dot{\phi}^2 + m_b b \sin \alpha \dot{\theta} (-\sin \theta \dot{x} + \cos \theta \dot{y}) + m_b b \cos \alpha \dot{\alpha} (\cos \theta \dot{x} + \sin \theta \dot{y}) - m_b bg \cos \alpha$$
(16)

and the nonholonomic constraint is

$$\dot{x} - r\cos\theta\dot{\phi} = 0, \qquad \dot{y} - r\sin\theta\dot{\phi} = 0.$$
 (17)

It is easily proved that the Lagrangian L and distribution \mathcal{D} are invariant under the action of the group G.

Substituting the constraints in the Lagrangian (16), the constrained Lagrangian L_c is determined as

$$L_{c} = (m_{b} + 2m_{W})r^{2}\dot{\phi}^{2} + rm_{b}b\cos\alpha\dot{\phi}\dot{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}\left(I_{\theta} + \frac{d^{2}}{2r^{2}}I_{Wyy}\right)\dot{\theta}^{2} + \frac{1}{2}(m_{b}b^{2} + I_{B})\dot{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2}2I_{Wyy}\dot{\phi}^{2}$$
(18)

The tangent space to the G_2 orbit is

$$T_q \operatorname{Orb}(q) = \operatorname{span}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right\}$$
(19)

and the constraint distribution is given by $\mathcal{D}_q = \operatorname{span}\{X_1, X_2, X_3\}$. with

$$X_1 = \cos\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}; \quad X_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \alpha}; \quad X_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$

The constraint fiber distribution ${\cal S}_q$ is calculated as

$$S_q = \mathcal{D}_q \cap T_q \operatorname{Orb}(q) = \{ \cos \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \sin \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \}$$
(20)

For obtaining the corresponding momentum equation, we consider the bundle whose fibers span the tangent vectors in S_q and choose a section of this bundle. Consider $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{se}(2) \times \mathbb{R}$ the Lie algebra of G_2 . The generators corresponding to the Lie algebra elements can be represented in standard basis in \mathbb{R}^4 as

$$(1,0,0,0)_Q = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad (0,1,0,0)_Q = \frac{\partial}{\partial y},$$
$$(0,0,1,0)_Q = -y\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + x\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \quad (0,0,0,1)_Q = \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$$

where the first two components represent translations, the third is the yawing motion and the fourth being the rolling motion. Therefore, to obtain the section of S_q given by vector fields

$$(\xi_1^q)_Q = r\cos\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + r\sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}, \text{ and } (\xi_2^q)_Q = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}$$
 (21)

and the corresponding Lie algebra elements are

$$\xi_1^q = (r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta, 0, 1) \text{ and } \xi_2^q = (y, -x, 1, 0).$$
 (22)

We have two the nonholonomic momenta corresponding to the two infinitesimal generators in S_q . The nonholonomic momentum in the body representation are calculated from (54) and (53) as

$$p_i(\xi^q) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}^i}(\xi^q_i)_Q \tag{23}$$

which yields

$$p_{1}(\xi_{1}^{q}) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}(\xi_{1}^{q})_{Q}$$

$$= \langle \left((m_{b} + 2m_{W})\dot{x} - m_{b}b\sin\alpha\sin\theta\dot{\theta} + m_{b}b\cos\alpha\cos\theta\dot{\alpha}, (m_{b} + 2m_{W})\dot{y} + m_{b}b\sin\alpha\cos\theta\dot{\theta} + m_{b}b\cos\alpha\sin\theta\dot{\alpha}, (I_{\theta} + \frac{d^{2}}{2r^{2}}I_{Wyy})\dot{\theta} + m_{b}b\sin\alpha(-\sin\theta\dot{x} + \cos\theta\dot{y}), (m_{b}b^{2} + I_{Byy})\dot{\alpha} + m_{b}b\cos\alpha(\cos\theta\dot{x} + \sin\theta\dot{y}), 2I_{Wyy}\dot{\phi} \right); (r\cos\theta, r\sin\theta, 0, 0, 1) \rangle$$

$$= \left[(m_{b} + 2m_{W})r^{2} + 2I_{Wyy} \right]\dot{\phi} + rm_{b}b\cos\alpha\dot{\alpha} \qquad (24)$$

and

$$p_{2}(\xi_{2}^{q}) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}(\xi_{2}^{q})_{Q} = \langle \left((m_{b} + 2m_{W})\dot{x} - m_{b}b\sin\alpha\sin\theta\dot{\theta} + m_{b}b\cos\alpha\cos\theta\dot{\alpha}, (m_{b} + 2m_{W})\dot{y} + m_{b}b\sin\alpha\cos\theta\dot{\theta} + m_{b}b\cos\alpha\cos\theta\dot{\alpha}, (I_{\theta} + \frac{d^{2}}{2r^{2}}I_{Wyy})\dot{\theta} + m_{b}b\sin\alpha(-\sin\theta\dot{x} + \cos\theta\dot{y}), (m_{b}b^{2} + I_{Byy})\dot{\alpha} + m_{b}b\cos\alpha(\cos\theta\dot{x} + \sin\theta\dot{y}), 2I_{Wyy}\dot{\phi} \right); (0, 0, 1, 0, 0) \rangle$$
$$= \left[I_{\theta}(\alpha) + \frac{d^{2}}{2r^{2}}I_{Wyy} \right]\dot{\theta}$$
(25)

From the nonholonomic momenta calculated in (24) and (25), the nonholonomic momentum equations are evaluated as

$$\frac{d}{dt}p_{1}(\xi_{1}^{q}) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \left[\frac{d}{dt}(\xi_{1}^{q}) \right]_{Q} = -\left((m_{b} + 2m_{W})\dot{x} - m_{b}b\sin\alpha\dot{\theta}\sin\theta + m_{b}b\cos\alpha\dot{\alpha}\cos\theta)r\sin\theta\dot{\theta} + ((m_{b} + 2m_{W})\dot{y} + m_{b}b\sin\alpha\dot{\theta}\cos\theta + m_{b}b\cos\alpha\dot{\alpha}\sin\theta)r\cos\theta\dot{\theta} = m_{b}rb\sin\alpha\dot{\theta}^{2},$$

$$d_{-}(\epsilon_{q}^{q}) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial L} \left[\frac{d}{d\epsilon}(\epsilon_{q}^{q}) \right] = \left((m_{b} + 2m_{W})\dot{x} - m_{b}b\sin\alpha\dot{\theta}\cos\theta + m_{b}b\cos\alpha\dot{\alpha}\sin\theta \right) + m_{b}b\sin\alpha\dot{\theta}^{2},$$

$$(26)$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}p_{2}(\xi_{2}^{q}) = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \left[\frac{d}{dt}(\xi_{2}^{q}) \right]_{Q} = \left((m_{b} + 2m_{W})\dot{x} - m_{b}b\sin\alpha\dot{\theta}\sin\theta + m_{b}b\cos\alpha\dot{\alpha}\cos\theta \right)\dot{y} - \left((m_{b} + 2m_{W})\dot{y} + m_{b}b\sin\alpha\dot{\theta}\cos\theta + m_{b}b\cos\alpha\dot{\alpha}\sin\theta \right)\dot{x} = -m_{b}br\sin\alpha\dot{\phi}\dot{\theta}.$$
(27)

Eliminating $\dot{\phi}$ and $\dot{\theta}$ using equations (24) and (25), the momentum dynamics are expressed as

$$\dot{p}_1 = \frac{m_b r b \sin \alpha}{[f(\alpha)]^2} p_2^2,$$
(28)

$$\dot{p}_2 = -\frac{m_b br \sin \alpha p_2}{f(\alpha)h} \left[m_b b \cos \alpha \dot{\alpha} + p_1 \right], \tag{29}$$

with

$$h = \left((m_b + 2m_w)r^2 + 2I_{W_{yy}} \right)$$
 and $f(\alpha) = \left(I_\theta(\alpha) + \frac{d^2}{2r^2}I_{W_{yy}} \right)$.

and

$$I_{\theta}(\alpha) = 2I_{Wzz} + I_{Bz}\cos^2\alpha + 2m_W d^2 + (I_{Bxx} + m_B b^2)\sin^2\alpha.$$
(30)

This completes the momentum equations computation for the group variables corresponding to S_q . Now we calculate the the dynamic equation governing the shape variable α given in (59).

3.1 Shape dynamics of the WIP under G_2 action

To explicitly express the shape dynamics, the reduced Lagrangian and the constrained reduced Lagrangian are computed as follows.

3.1.1 Reduced Lagrangian and constrained reduced Lagrangian

The rolling constraint (17) is now expressed in the body coordinate frame as

$$\xi_1 = r\xi_4; \qquad \xi_2 = 0. \tag{31}$$

where $\xi = g^{-1}\dot{g} \in \mathfrak{se}(2) \times \mathbb{R}$ is the (left-invariant) body angular velocity, expressed by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \xi_1\\ \xi_2\\ \xi_3\\ \xi_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta \dot{x} + \sin\theta \dot{y}\\ -\sin\theta \dot{x} + \cos\theta \dot{y}\\ \dot{\theta}\\ \dot{\phi} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(32)

Theorem 1 With (L, D) and G_2 as the group action, the constrained reduced Lagrangian is

$$l_{c}(\alpha,\dot{\alpha},\xi) = \frac{1}{2} \left((m_{b} + 2m_{w})r^{2} + 2I_{Wyy} \right) \xi_{4}^{2} + rm_{b}b\cos\alpha\dot{\alpha}\xi_{4} + \frac{1}{2} (I_{\theta} + \frac{d^{2}}{2r^{2}}I_{Wyy})\xi_{3}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (m_{b}b^{2} + I_{B})\dot{\alpha}^{2} - m_{b}gb\cos\alpha.$$
(33)

Proof: When the Lagrangian L and the distribution \mathcal{D} are invariant under the action of a group G, the system is reduced to the quotient space \mathcal{D}/G . From a system (L, \mathcal{D}) on TQ, a reduced Lagrangian $l : \mathfrak{g} \times T\mathbb{S}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is calculated as

$$l(\alpha, \dot{\alpha}, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} (m_b + 2m_w) \xi_1^2 - m_b b \sin \alpha \dot{\theta} \xi_2 + m_b b \cos \alpha \dot{\alpha} \xi_1 + \frac{1}{2} (m_b + 2m_W) \xi_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} (I_\theta + \frac{d^2}{2r^2} I_{Wyy}) \xi_3^2 + \frac{1}{2} (m_b b^2 + I_B) \dot{\alpha}^2 + \frac{1}{2} (2I_{Wyy}) \xi_4^2 - m_b g b \cos \alpha$$

where $\xi = g^{-1}\dot{g} \in \mathfrak{se}(2) \times \mathbb{R}$. The constraint reads $\xi_1 = r\xi_4$ and $\xi_2 = 0$ (this eliminates two variables of the Lie algebra.) The constrained reduced Lagrangian $l_c : \mathfrak{so}(2) \times \mathbb{R} \times T\mathbb{S}^1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is

$$l_{c}(\alpha, \dot{\alpha}, \xi) = \frac{1}{2} \left((m_{b} + 2m_{w})r^{2} + 2I_{Wyy} \right) \xi_{4}^{2} + rm_{b}b \cos \alpha \dot{\alpha} \xi_{4} + \frac{1}{2} (I_{\theta} + \frac{d^{2}}{2r^{2}} I_{Wyy}) \xi_{3}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} (m_{b}b^{2} + I_{B}) \dot{\alpha}^{2} - m_{b}gb \cos \alpha$$

Notice that, the nonholonomic momentum (24)-(25) can also be obtained from the constrained reduced Lagrangian as

$$p_1 = \frac{\partial l_c}{\partial \dot{\phi}}$$
 and $p_2 = \frac{\partial l_c}{\partial \dot{\theta}}$. (34)

Claim The nonhlonomic connection regarded as a principal connection A^{nhc} and is used to define the constraint equations of the form:

$$A^{nhc}(\dot{q}) = g^{-1}\dot{g} + \mathcal{A}(\alpha)\dot{\alpha} = \Gamma(\alpha)p \tag{35}$$

where $\mathcal{A}(\cdot)$ denotes the local form of the nonholonomic connection given as,

$$\mathcal{A}(\alpha) = r\left(\frac{m_b b}{h}\cos\alpha\right) d\alpha e_1 + \left(\frac{m_b b}{h}\cos\alpha\right) d\alpha e_4,\tag{36}$$

and

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \frac{r}{h}e_1 + \frac{1}{f(\alpha)}e_3 + \frac{1}{h}e_4.$$
(37)

Proof: The kinematic constraints and the momentum equation are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x} - r\cos\theta\dot{\phi} &= 0, \\ \dot{y} - r\sin\theta\dot{\phi} &= 0, \\ p_1 &= h\dot{\phi} + m_b br\cos\alpha\dot{\alpha}, \\ p_2 &= f(\alpha)\dot{\theta}. \end{aligned}$$
 Nonholonomic constraints
Momentum

Adding and subtracting first two equation then substituting for $\dot{\phi}$ from third equation, we can write

$$\begin{bmatrix} (\cos\theta\dot{x} + \sin\theta\dot{y})\\ (-\sin\theta\dot{x} + \cos\theta\dot{y})\\ \dot{\theta}\\ \dot{\phi}\\ \dot{\phi} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \frac{rm_bb}{h}\cos\alpha\dot{\alpha}\\ 0\\ 0\\ \frac{m_bb}{h}\cos\alpha\dot{\alpha}\\ \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{r}{h}p_1\\ 0\\ \frac{1}{f(\alpha)}p_2\\ \frac{1}{h}p_1 \end{bmatrix}$$
(38)

These equations have the form

$$g^{-1}\dot{g} + \mathcal{A}(\alpha)\dot{\alpha} = \Gamma(\alpha)p, \tag{39}$$

where,

$$\mathcal{A}(\alpha) = \frac{rm_b b}{h} \cos \alpha e_1 \, \mathrm{d}\alpha + \frac{m_b b}{h} \cos \alpha e_4 \, \mathrm{d}\alpha$$
$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \frac{r}{h} e_1 + \frac{1}{f(\alpha)} e_3 + \frac{1}{h} e_4.$$

Furthermore, if the momenta are given by p_1 and p_2 , then the allowable trajectories for the system must satisfy

$$A^{nhc}(q) \cdot \dot{q} = \Gamma(\alpha)p = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{r}{h} & 0\\ 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{f(\alpha)}\\ \frac{1}{h} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_1\\ p_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$
 (40)

The above equation specifies the trajectories along the fiber in terms of pitch angle (base variable) and momentum variables. \Box With this, the dynamics of the WIP system is now calculated.

Theorem 2 Given the invariance of the Lagrangian and the constraints, the flow of (p_1, p_2) is independent of the group variable and is governed by the generalized momentum equation,

$$\dot{p}_1 = p_2 \rho_{pp}(\alpha) p_2, \tag{41}$$

$$\dot{p}_1 = \sigma_{\dot{\alpha}p}(\alpha)\dot{\alpha}p_2 + \sigma_{pp}p_1p_2. \tag{42}$$

where $\rho_{pp} = (m_b r b \sin \alpha) / f^2(\alpha)$, $\sigma_{\dot{\alpha}p} = (m_b^2 b^2 r \sin 2\alpha) / 2f(\alpha)h$ and $(m_b b r \sin \alpha) / 2f(\alpha)h$. Further, using the constrained reduced Lagrangian, the shape dynamics is written as

$$\left(m_b b^2 + I_B - \frac{m_b^2 b^2 \cos^2 \alpha}{h}\right) \ddot{\alpha} = -\frac{m_b b \sin 2\alpha}{2h} \dot{\alpha}^2
+ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(m_b^2 b^2 r \sin 2\alpha - h f'(\alpha)\right)}{h f(\alpha)^2} p_2^2 - m_b g b \sin \alpha$$
(43)

Proof: The proof of this follows by taking $\Omega = \Gamma(\alpha)p$, then from equation (39) of Claim 3.1.1 we can rewrite the constraints using angular momentum Ω as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \xi_1\\ \xi_2\\ \xi_3\\ \xi_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -A_1\dot{\alpha} + \Omega_1\\ \Omega_2\\ \Omega_3\\ -A_2\dot{\alpha} + \Omega_4 \end{bmatrix}$$
(44)

with $A_1 = (rm_b b \cos \alpha)/h$ and $A_2 = (m_b b \cos \alpha)/h$. Using Theorem 1, the reduced Lagrangian in terms of $(\alpha, \dot{\alpha}, \Omega)$

$$l(\alpha, \dot{\alpha}, \Omega) = \frac{1}{2} (m_b + 2m_W) [\Omega_1^2 + A_1^2 \dot{\alpha}^2 - 2A_1 \Omega_1 \dot{\alpha} + \Omega_2^2] + \frac{1}{2} f(\alpha) \Omega_3^2 + \frac{1}{2} (m_b b^2 + I_B) \dot{\alpha}^2 + \frac{1}{2} I_{Wyy} 2 [\Omega_4^2 + A_2^2 \dot{\alpha}^2 - 2A_2 \Omega_4 \dot{\alpha}] + m_b b \sin \alpha \Omega_3 \Omega_2 + m_b b \cos \alpha \dot{\alpha} \Omega_1 - m_b b \cos \alpha A_1 \dot{\alpha}^2 - m_b g b \cos \alpha$$

The constraint space $\mathfrak{b}^{\mathfrak{S}}$ is defined by

$$\mathfrak{b}^{\mathfrak{S}} = \{ \Omega_1 = r \Omega_4 \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_2 = 0 \}$$

$$\tag{45}$$

Substituting the above constraints, the constraint reduced Lagrangian is obtained as

$$\begin{split} l_c(\alpha, \dot{\alpha}, \Omega) &= \frac{1}{2}h\Omega_4^2 + \frac{1}{2}f(\alpha)\Omega_3^2 + \frac{1}{2}(m_b b^2 + I_B - \frac{rm_b^2 b^2 \cos^2 \alpha}{h})\dot{\alpha}^2 - m_b gb\cos\alpha, \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{p_1^2}{h} + \frac{p_2^2}{f(\alpha)}\right) + \frac{1}{2}(m_b b^2 + I_B - \frac{m_b^2 b^2 \cos^2 \alpha}{h})\dot{\alpha}^2 - m_b gb\cos\alpha. \end{split}$$

The shape dynamics is calculated from (59)(also refer Ostrowski (1996) [21], [26]) as

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial l_c}{\partial \dot{\alpha}} - \frac{\partial l_c}{\partial \alpha} = \langle \operatorname{ad}_{\xi}^* \frac{\partial l}{\partial \xi}, A(\cdot) \rangle + \langle \frac{\partial l}{\partial \xi}, \left(\mathrm{d}A(\dot{\alpha}, \cdot) + \frac{\partial \Gamma(\alpha)p}{\partial \alpha} \right) \rangle, \quad (46)$$

where

$$dA(\dot{\alpha}, \cdot) = \frac{\partial A}{\partial \alpha} \dot{\alpha} - \frac{\partial A \dot{\alpha}}{\partial \alpha} = 0;$$

$$ad_{\xi}^{*} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \xi} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\partial l}{\partial \xi_{2}} \xi_{3} \\ \frac{\partial l}{\partial \xi_{1}} \xi_{3} \\ \xi_{2} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \xi_{3}} - \xi_{1} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \xi_{3}} \end{bmatrix}; \frac{\partial l}{\partial \xi} = \begin{bmatrix} (m_{b} + 2m_{W})\xi_{1} - m_{b}b\cos\alpha\dot{\alpha} \\ -m_{b}b\sin\alpha\xi_{3} + (m_{b} + 2m_{W})\xi_{2} \\ -m_{b}b\sin\alpha\xi_{2} + f(\alpha)\xi_{3} \\ I_{Wyy}\frac{1}{r^{2}}\xi_{4} \end{bmatrix}$$

Substituting these terms and calculating the partials we get the resultant shape dynamics as (43). The momentum equations are calculated in preceding section and is given by equation (28) and (29). \Box To summarize the idea presented so far for the WIP, we have used the G_2 symmetry group and the tools associated to simplify the dynamics to the form:

$$g^{-1}\dot{g} + \mathcal{A}(\alpha)\dot{\alpha} = \Gamma(\alpha)p,\tag{47}$$

$$\dot{p}_1 = \frac{m_b r b \sin \alpha}{[f(\alpha)]^2} p_2^2 + u_1, \tag{48}$$

$$\dot{p}_2 = -\frac{m_b br \sin \alpha p_2}{f(\alpha)h} \left[m_b b \cos \alpha \dot{\alpha} + p_1 \right] + u_2, \tag{49}$$

$$\left(m_b b^2 + I_B - \frac{m_b^2 b^2 \cos^2 \alpha}{h}\right) \ddot{\alpha} = -\frac{m_b b \sin 2\alpha}{2h} \dot{\alpha}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(m_b^2 b^2 r \sin 2\alpha - h f'(\alpha)\right)}{h f(\alpha)^2} p_2^2 - m_b g b \sin \alpha \tag{50}$$

Equation (48) is the momentum conjugate to the forward velocity and (49) is the yaw momentum. The controls u_1 and u_2 are the torque responsible for the forward motion and the yaw motion of the WIP. In particular, $u_1 = \frac{r(\tau_1 + \tau_2)}{2}$ and $u_2 = \frac{r(\tau_2 - \tau_1)}{d}$, where τ_1 and τ_2 are the wheel torques.

Thus we have reduced the equation from 3 second order equations with 3 constraints equations to 5 first order equations and 1 second order equation (7 first order equations). The process of reconstruction is done by lifting the shape curve through the Lie algebra through connection (47) in order to solve the dynamics on the fiber. Control laws could now be synthesized on this set of equations, with the objective of preserving a vertically upright position ($\alpha = 0$) and a constant forward momentum p_1 and yaw momentum p_2 .

4 Future work

With the dynamics given by (48)-(50), the controlled directions are given by the fibers of a subbundle \mathcal{F}^* of the momentum phase space T^*Q . This \mathcal{F}^* is a subset of \mathcal{S}^* , where $\mathcal{S}^* \subset T^*Q$ is a bundle over Q whose fibers are the dual of the fibers of \mathcal{S} . Sometimes, this may fail to be a cotangent space to the submanifold of the configuration space, which is typical when control torques are used. In such a situation, a suitable choice of basis for the Lie algebra may result in simpler controlled dynamics. In [27], the authors discuss quasivelocities and its application in control, used Hamel's equation and body frame basis to derived the momentum equations in the body frame and prove conservation laws. Moreover, such a change in frame (Lie algebra basis) may assist in design stabilizing inputs for the system. The future work is to examine such a change in basis and design control laws appropriately.

5 Acknowledgements

The authors thank the support of DST-India and DAAD-Germany for financial support of this work under the aegis of a collaborative exchange project between TU Munich and IIT-Bombay. The second author performed a part of this work while he was a Pratt and Whitney Visiting Professor in the Department of Aerospace Engineering at the Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India.

6 Appendix

Symmetries plays an important role in nonholonomic systems. Suppose we are given a Lagrangian L and a smooth constraint nonintegrable distribution \mathcal{D} , then the action of a group and symmetry are defined as follows:

Definition 2 The (left) action of a Lie group G on a smooth manifold Q is a smooth mapping $\Phi: G \times Q \longrightarrow Q$, such that 1) $\Phi(e,q) = q$ for all $q \in M$ and $\Phi(g, \Phi(h,q)) = (gh,q)$ for all $g, h \in G$ and $q \in M$, and 2) For every $g \in G$, the map Φ_q is diffeomorphism.

Definition 3 The tangent lift of a group action Φ is

$$T\Phi: G \times TQ \longrightarrow TQ$$

(g,(q, \dot{q})) $\rightarrow T\Phi(g, q, \dot{q}) = (\Phi(g, q), T_a\Phi(g, \dot{q}))$

Definition 4 A function F is invariant (or symmetric) with respect to an action Φ of a Lie group G if, for every $g \in G$, the map Φ_g is a symmetry of F, that is, $F \circ \Phi_g = F$. The group G is then called a symmetry group of F.

Definition 5 A distribution \mathcal{D} is invariant in a sense that the action of $g \in G$ maps \mathcal{D}_q at point q to \mathcal{D}_{gq} at point gq.

In the context here, a mechanical system is invariant under a Lie group action G if the system Lagrangian L and constraint distribution \mathcal{D} are invariant. If this holds, then the system posses group symmetry.

Orbit Space: The action of a Lie group G through a point on manifold forms a group orbit. The group orbit through a point q on Q is defined as

$$\operatorname{Orb}(q) \triangleq \{gq \mid g \in G\}.$$
(51)

Let \mathfrak{g} be the Lie algebra of the Lie group G and $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Definition 6 Suppose $\Phi : G \times Q \longrightarrow Q$ is an action. For $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$, the map $\Phi^{\xi} : \mathbb{R} \times Q \longrightarrow Q$ defined as $\Phi^{\xi}(t,q) = \Phi(exp(\xi t),q)$ is an \mathbb{R} action (called as flow) on Q. The vector field that generates this flow, is given by

$$\xi_Q(q) = \frac{d}{dt}\Big|_{t=0} \Phi(\exp(t\xi), q)$$
(52)

and is called an infinitesimal generator of the action corresponding to ξ .

Hence, the tangent space to a group orbit through a point q is given by the set of infinitesimal generators at that point, denoted by $T_q \operatorname{Orb}(q) = \{\xi_Q(q) \mid \xi \in \mathfrak{g}\}$. Now, let us revisit our bundle structure.

Consider a free and proper action of a Lie group G on Q. The quotient space (shape space) $Q/G \cong S$ and the projection map $\pi: Q \longrightarrow Q/G$ define a bundle structure referred to as a principal bundle and the kernel of $T_q\pi$, which is the tangent space to the group orbit, is called as the vertical space of the bundle at point q. We now define a connection, termed as principal connection on $Q(S, G, \pi)$, as follows.

Definition 7 A principal connection on $Q(S, G, \pi)$ is a \mathfrak{g} valued 1-form \mathcal{A} on Q satisfying,

- 1. $\mathcal{A}(\xi_Q(q)) = \xi, \ \forall \xi \in \mathfrak{g} \ and \ q \in Q;$
- 2. $\mathcal{A}(\Phi_*X_p) = Ad_g\mathcal{A}(X_p)$ for all $X_p \in TQ$, where Ad denotes the adjoint of G on g. This is called the equivariance of the connection.

Then the horizontal subspace can be denoted as $H_q = \{v_q | \mathcal{A}(v_q) = 0\}$, and \mathcal{A} can be expressed using the above property as $\mathcal{A}(g, r, \dot{g}, \dot{r}) = \mathrm{Ad}_g(\xi + A(r)\dot{r})$. Here, A is the local form of connection \mathcal{A} which only depends on r.

Assume that the system Lagrangian L and the constraint distribution \mathcal{D} of a constrained mechanical system are invariant under a Lie group action G. Then following [21],[28], assume $\mathcal{D}_q + T_q(\operatorname{Orb})(q) = TQ$. Since we are interested in those symmetry directions which are compatible with the constraints, let $S_q = \mathcal{D}_q \cap T_q\operatorname{Orb}(q)$ be the intersection of the tangent space to the orbit with the constraint distribution. S_q is called the constraint fiber distribution and the union of these spaces over $q \in Q$ forms a vector bundle S over Q. The Lie algebra for this intersection is defined as below:

Definition 8 (Lie algebra of S_q) Define for each $q \in Q$ the subspace \mathfrak{g}^q to be the set of Lie algebra elements in \mathfrak{g} whose infinitesimal generators evaluated at q lie in both \mathcal{D}_q and $T_qOrb(q)$: $\mathfrak{g}^q = \{\xi \in \mathfrak{g} \mid |\xi_Q(q) \in S_q\}$. The corresponding bundle over Q whose fiber at point q is \mathfrak{g}^q is denoted by $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathcal{D}}$.

6.1 Nonholonomic momentum and reduced dynamics

For the bundle $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow Q$ whose fiber at a point q is given by \mathfrak{g}^q , the nonholonomic momentum is defined as

$$J^{nhc}: TQ \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\mathcal{D}^*} \qquad (q, \dot{q}) \mapsto J^{nhc}(q, \dot{q})$$
$$J^{nhc}(q, \dot{q})(\cdot): \mathfrak{g}^{\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}, \qquad \xi^q \mapsto \langle \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}, \xi^q_Q(q) \rangle. \tag{53}$$

The nonholonomic momentum provides the nonholonomic connection, and the horizontal space at point q of this connection is the orthogonal complement of S lying in the constraint distribution, i.e. $H_q = S_q^{\perp} \cap \mathcal{D}_q$. Further, consider $e_1(q), \dots, e_s(q), e_{s+1}(q), \dots e_k(q)$ to be the q-dependent Lie algebra basis of \mathfrak{g} such that the first 's' element span the subspace \mathfrak{g}^q . Let $e_1(r), \dots, e_s(r), e_{s+1}(r), \dots e_k(r)$ denote this basis of \mathfrak{g} at g = Id. Then the body fixed basis are defined as $e_k(q) = Ad_g e_k(r)$. Thus, in this basis the momentum is defined as

$$\langle J^{nhc}(g,r,\dot{g},\dot{r}), e_i(g,r)\rangle = \langle \frac{\partial l}{\partial \xi}, e_i(r)\rangle := p_i, \qquad 1 \le i \le s.$$
 (54)

where l is the reduced Lagrangian of the system and p_i denotes the momentum in the body frame.

Definition 9 (Momentum equation [16]) The generalized momentum equation in body representation on the principle bundle $Q \longrightarrow Q/G$ is given as

$$\frac{d}{dt}p_i = \langle \frac{\partial l}{\partial \xi}, [\xi, e_i(r)] + \frac{\partial e_i}{\partial r}\dot{r}\rangle.$$
(55)

Now, consider a map $A^s: T_q Q \longrightarrow S_q$, such that $(q, \dot{q}) \mapsto (\bar{I}^{-1} J^{nhc})_Q$, where $\bar{I}: \mathfrak{g}^{\mathcal{D}} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\mathcal{D}^*}$ is the local locked inertia tensor in the group direction. And $A^{kin}: T_q Q \longrightarrow S_q^{\perp}$ is the kinematic connection orthogonal to the kinetic energy metric. Then the constraints and momentum equations are written as

$$A^{kin}(q)(\dot{q}) = 0; \qquad A^{s}(q)(\dot{q}) = (\bar{I}^{-1}p)_Q.$$
(56)

where $p = \langle J^{nhc}(q)\dot{q}, \xi^q \rangle$. Therefore the nonholonomic connection is the sum of kinematic and symmetric connection, $A^{nhc} = A^{kin} + A^s$.

Reduced dynamics: If l the Lagrangian reduced by the group action and p_b is the body momenta in the group directions in the constraint manifold, then the constraint can be written as, $\bar{I}^{-1}p = \xi + \mathcal{A}(r)\dot{r}$, where \mathcal{A} denotes the local form of the nonholonomic connection. The constrained Lagrangian, expressed in the momentum variable p is,

$$l_{c}(r,\dot{r},p) = l(r,\dot{r},\xi)\big|_{\xi=-\mathcal{A}(r)\dot{r}+\bar{I}^{-1}p}$$
(57)

Expressing $\Omega \triangleq \overline{I}^{-1}p$ which is the body angular velocity in a body fixed basis at identity, we write the reduced constrained Lagrangian as

$$l_c(r, \dot{r}, \Omega) = l(r, \dot{r}, -\mathcal{A}(r)\dot{r} + \Omega)$$
(58)

Then by the reduced nonholonomic constrained variational principle, the equations of motion are given by

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial l_c}{\partial \dot{r}} - \frac{\partial l_c}{\partial r} = N(r, \dot{r}, p), \tag{59}$$

where

$$N = \langle \mathrm{ad}_{\xi}^{*} \frac{\partial l}{\partial \xi}, \mathcal{A}(\cdot) \rangle + \langle \frac{\partial l}{\partial \xi}, \left(\mathrm{d}A(\dot{r}, \cdot) \frac{\partial \Gamma(r)p}{\partial r} \right) \rangle, \text{ with } \mathrm{d}A = \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}(r)}{\partial r} \dot{\alpha} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}(r)\dot{r}}{\partial r}$$

where $\Gamma : \mathfrak{g}^{\mathcal{D}^*} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^{\mathcal{D}}$ is the inverse reduced inertia tensor. Hence, equation (55) and (59), together with the reconstruction equation $g^{-1}\dot{g} = -\mathcal{A}(r)\dot{r} + \Gamma(r)p$, give the complete dynamics of the system termed as the reduced non-holonomic Lagrange-d'Alembert-Poincaré equation of motion [16].

References

- 1. A. M. Bloch, Nonholonomic Mechanics and Control. New York: Springer-Verlag, 2003.
- 2. Segway, [Online] Available: http://www.segway.com, 2014.
- F. Grasser, A. D'Arrigo, S. Colombi, and A. C. Rufer, "Joe: a mobile, inverted pendulum." *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 107–114, 2002.
- R. P. M. Chan, K. A. Stol, and C. R. Halkyard, "Review of modelling and control of two-wheeled robots," *Annual Reviews in Control*, vol. 37, no. 1, pp. 89–103, 2013.
- 5. Z. Li, C. Yang, and L. Fan, Advanced Control of Wheeled Inverted Pendulum Systems. Springer, 2012.
- D. Nasrallah, J. Angeles, and H. Michalska, "Velocity and orientation control of an antitilting mobile robot moving on an inclined plane," in *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, 2006, pp. 3717–3723.
- D. Nasrallah, H. Michalska, and J. Angeles, "Controllability and posture control of a wheeled pendulum moving on an inclined plane," *IEEE Transactions on Robotics*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 564–577, 2007.
- M. Baloh and M. Parent, "Modeling and model verification of an intelligent self-balancing two-wheeled vehicle for an autonomous urban transportation system," in *Proc. Conf. Comp. Intelligence, Robot. Autonom. Syst.*, 2003.
- A. Blankespoor and R. Roemer, "Experimental verification of the dynamic model for a quarter size self-balancing wheelchair," in *Proceedings of the American Control Confer*ence, vol. 1, 2004, pp. 488–492.
- A. Salerno and J. Angeles, "The control of semi-autonomous two-wheeled robots undergoing large payload-variations," in *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 2, 2004, pp. 1740–1745.
- Y. Kim, S. H. Kim, and Y. K. Kwak, "Dynamic analysis of a nonholonomic two-wheeled inverted pendulum robot," *Journal of Intelligent and Robotic Systems*, vol. 44, no. 1, pp. 25–46, 2005.
- A. Salerno and J. Angeles, "On the nonlinear controllability of a quasiholonomic mobile robot," in *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference onRobotics and Automation*, vol. 3, 2003, pp. 3379–3384.
- K. Pathak, J. Franch, and S. K. Agrawal, "Velocity and position control of a wheeled inverted pendulum by partial feedback linearization," *IEEE Transactions on robotics*, vol. 21, no. 3, pp. 505–513, 2005.
- N. R. Gans and S. A. Hutchinson, "Visual servo velocity and pose control of a wheeled inverted pendulum through partial-feedback linearization," in *IEEE/RSJ International Conference on Intelligent Robots and Systems*, 2006, pp. 3823–3828.
- S. Delgado, S. Gajbhiye, and R. N. Banavar, "Reduced equations of motion for a wheeled inverted pendulum," *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, vol. 48, no. 1, pp. 328–333, 2015.
- A. M. Bloch, P. S. Krishnaprasad, J. E. Marsden, and R. M. Murray, "Nonholonomic Mechanical Systems with Symmetry," *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, vol. 136, pp. 21–99, 1996.
- D. V. Zenkov, A. M. Bloch, and J. E. Marsden, "The energy-momentum method for the stability of non-holonomic systems," *Dynamics and stability of systems*, vol. 13, no. 2, pp. 123–165, 1998.
- J. E. Marsden and J. Scheurle, "The reduced euler-lagrange equations," *Fields Institute Comm*, vol. 1, pp. 139–164, 1993.
- 19. J. E. Marsden, T. S. Ratiu, and J. Scheurle, "Reduction theory and the lagrange-routh equations," *Journal of mathematical physics*, vol. 41, no. 6, pp. 3379–3429, 2000.
- D. D. Holm, T. Schmah, and C. Stoica, *Geometric Mechanics and Symmetry*. Oxford University Press Inc., New York, 2009.

- J. P. Ostrowski, "The Mchanics and Control of Undulatory Robotic Locomotion," Ph.D. dissertation, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, 1996.
- 22. H. Cendra, D. D. Holm, J. E. Marsden, and T. S. Ratiu, "Lagrangian Reduction, the Euler-Poincaré equations, and Semidirect Products," *Translations of the American Mathematical Society-Series(2)*, vol. 186, 1998.
- D. D. Holm, J. E. Marsden, and T. S. Ratiu, "The Euler-Poincaré Equations and Semidirect Products with Applications to Continuum Theories," *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 137, 1998.
- 24. J. Koiller, "Reduction of some classical non-holonomic systems with symmetry," Archive for rational mechanics and analysis, vol. 118, no. 2, pp. 113–148, 1992.
- J. Ostrowski, J. Burdick, A. D. Lewis, and R. M. Murray, "The mechanics of undulatory locomotion: The mixed kinematic and dynamic case," in *Proceedings of IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, vol. 2, 1995, pp. 1945–1951.
- D. V. Zenkov, A. M. Bloch, and J. E. Marsden, "The Lyapunov-Malkin Theorem and Stabilization of the Unicycle with Rider," *Systems Control Letters*, vol. 45, pp. 293–302, 1999.
- A. M. Bloch, J. E. Marsden, and D. V. Zenkov, "Quasivelocities and symmetries in non-holonomic systems," *Dynamical systems*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 187–222, 2009.
- J. Cortes, S. Martinez, J. Ostrowski, and H. Zang, "Simple Mechanical Control System with Constraint and Symmetry," SIAM J. Control and Optimization, vol. 41, pp. 851– 874, 2002.